**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 27 2006

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MATILDE RODRIGUEZ EVANGELISTA,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-30281

D.C. No. CR-02-00242-FVS

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington Fred L. Van Sickle, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 24, 2006\*\*

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Matilde Rodriguez Evangelista appeals from the district court's judgment revoking his supervised release and imposing a 21-month sentence. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review the district court's sentence for

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

reasonableness and the district court's use of the Chapter 7 Guidelines for abuse of discretion. *See United States v. Migbel*, 444 F.3d 1173, 1176 (9th Cir. 2006).

Evangelista contends that his sentence is unreasonable because it exceeds the punishment he received for his original conviction and the punishment he received for his subsequent conviction. We conclude that the sentence imposed, which is at the low end of the applicable Chapter 7 Guidelines range and runs concurrently with Evangelista's new sentence, is reasonable. *See United States v. Rodriguez-Rodriguez*, 441 F.3d 767, 771 (9th Cir. 2006).

## AFFIRMED.